UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/596,640	11/15/2006	Michel Guillon	MIG 3050	8708
321 SENNIGER PC	7590 11/30/2009 OWERS LLP		EXAMINER	
100 NORTH BI 17TH FLOOR	ROADWAY	SUGARMAN, SCOTT J		
ST LOUIS, MC	63102	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2873	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/30/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

uspatents@senniger.com

Office Action Summany		App	olication No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/	596,640	GUILLON ET AL.				
Office Action Summary			miner	Art Unit				
		Sco	tt J. Sugarman	2873				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communi or Reply	cation appears	on the cover sheet with the	correspondence ad	dress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any (ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MANAGER OF	AILING DATE (of 37 CFR 1.136(a). unication. tutory period will appl will, by statute, cause	OF THIS COMMUNICATION In no event, however, may a reply be to the system of the application to become ABANDON	N. imely filed in the mailing date of this of ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•			
Status								
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed	d on 30 July 20	000					
· ·	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>30 July 2009</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.							
3)		<i>,</i> —		rosecution as to the	e merits is			
٥,١	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims		·					
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>11-20</u> is/are pending in the	application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	Claim(s) <u>11-20</u> is/are rejected.							
· ·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
•	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	tion and/or elec	ction requirement.					
	on Papers		·					
	•							
•	The specification is objected to by the			h. the Evensines				
10)[The drawing(s) filed on 30 July 2009							
	Applicant may not request that any object				ED 4 4047 IV			
44)□	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including			-	, ,			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examin	er. Note the attached ∪πic	e Action or form P	10-152.			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
· .	Acknowledgment is made of a claim f ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	-		a)-(d) or (f).				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
* ~	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
^ &	See the attached detailed Office action	n for a list of the	e certified copies not receiv	ea.				
Attachmen			4) Intonio 0	v (PTO 442)				
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P ⁻	ГО-948)	4) ∐ Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail I					
3) 🔲 Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	,	5) Notice of Informal					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) L_ Other:								

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 11-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by either Shadduck (US 7,264,351 B2) or Shadduck (US 7,278,739 B2). Shadduck ('351) and Shadduck ('739) both teach a multi-focal contact lens that is made from a responsive polymer gel (see col. 5, line 56-col. 6, line 67 in ('351); see col. 3, line 66-col. 5, line 3 and col. 5, line 31-35 in ('739)). Since light can be a stimulus, (Fig. 11c in ('351) and Fig. 4 in ('739)), it inherently includes a magnetic and an electric field.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/596,640 Page 3

Art Unit: 2873

Claims 16 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over either Shadduck (US 7,264,351 B2) or Shadduck (US 7,278,739 B2), taken separately, in view of Large (US 5,712,721). Shadduck (US 7,264,351 B2) or Shadduck (US 7,278,739 B2) are applied for reasons set forth above against claims 11 and 13, but do not teach where a stimulus can be produced by a means such as a micro chip embedded in the contact lens. Large teaches a multi focal contact lens having a stimulus producing means (15) that includes a micro chip embedded in the contact lens. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the multi-focal contact lens of either Shadduck ('351) or Shadduck ('739) with a stimulus producing means such as a micro chip embedded in the contact lens, since as shown by Large, a multi-focal contact lens can include a stimulus producing means such as a micro chip.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 18-20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

The prior art does not teach or suggest the recited multi-focal contact lens structure

where the chip monitors the eye movement such that a change in eye movement

causes the chip to emit the stimulus.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed July 30, 2009 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's arguments concerning the difference between a responsive polymer gel and a shape memory polymer have been considered, however, Applicant is relying on limitations not in the claim. For example, the structure of Shadduck (all cited references) is understood to be a shape memory polymer. A force acting on the structure can cause it to deform, but in response to the deformation, it will respond back to its original shape, hence "responsive". As a polymer gel, it is, therefore, considered to be a responsive polymer gel. This interpretation is for purposes of the rejections above.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Scott J. Sugarman whose telephone number is

(571)272-2340.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Ricky L. Mack can be reached on (571)272-2333. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Scott J. Sugarman/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2873

sis

November 23, 2009